



MEETING MINUTES VETERINARY MEDICAL BOARD

University of California Davis
Veterinary Medicine Research Facility 3B,
Room 1105
1089 Veterinary Medicine Drive
Davis, California 95616

10:00 a.m. Wednesday, January 23, 2019

1. Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum

Dr. Jaymie Noland called the Veterinary Medical Board (Board) meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. Executive Officer, Ms. Jessica Sieferman, called roll; seven members of the Board were present, and a quorum was established.

2. Board President's Remarks, Board Member Comments and Introductions

Dr. Noland thanked Dr. Richard Sullivan for his time and dedication to both the Board and the Multidisciplinary Advisory Committee (MDC) since 2012 and presented him with a plaque thanking him for his exemplary service. Dr. Noland also thanked Ms. Judie Mancuso for her service since 2010.

Members Present

Jaymie Noland, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM), President
Cheryl Waterhouse, DVM, Vice President
Kathy Bowler, Public Member
Christina Bradbury, DVM
Jennifer Loreda, Registered Veterinary Technician (RVT)
Mark Nunez, DVM
Alana Yanez, Public Member

Staff Present

Jessica Sieferman, Executive Officer
Robert Stephanopoulos, Enforcement Manager
Patty Rodriguez, Inspections Manager
Amanda Drummond, Administrative Program Analyst
Virginia Gerard, Enforcement Analyst
Kimberly Gorski, Enforcement Analyst
Helen Park, Associate Enforcement Analyst
Moneel Singh, Licensing Analyst

Sidney Villareal, Probation Monitor
Tara Welch, Legal Counsel

Guests Present

Kelsey Burns, University of San Diego, Center for Public Interest Law (CPIL)
Lauren Burton, Attorney
Mark Cushing, Animal Policy Group
Valerie Fenstermaker, California Veterinary Medical Association (CVMA)
Patrick Le, Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA)
Hillarie Levy
Grant Miller, DVM, CVMA
Rafael Moore, General Counsel for Veterinary Information Network (VIN)
John Pascoe, DVM, University of California (UC) Davis
Ken Pawlowski, DVM, CVMA
Jeff Pollard, DVM, MDC
Marc Robinson, Attorney
Cindy Savely, RVT, CVMA and Sacramento Valley Veterinary Technician Association
Carol Schumacher, RVT
Solomon Stupp, The Lizzie Initiative for Pet Protection
Richard Sullivan, DVM

3. Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

Mr. Raphael Moore, General Counsel for VIN, addressed the Board that there is a concern amongst the veterinary community about implementing Senate Bill (SB) 1480 (Hill, Chapter 571, Statutes of 2018), specifically the requirements for drug counseling. He advised he would like to work with the Board to resolve some of the issues and questions that have been raised. Ms. Sieferman advised that she and legal counsel, Ms. Tara Welch, are working on some information to be posted on the website regarding drug counseling, and she would be meeting with the Senate Business, Professions and Economic Development (BP&ED) Committee next week to discuss this issue as well.

Due to additional public comments, the Board returned to this agenda item at a later time

Mr. Solomon Stupp addressed the Board to let them know that he would be pursuing legislation to make it a requirement that veterinary premises be required to display the new drug counseling requirements in Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 4829.5.

4. Review and Approval of November 14-15, 2018 Board Meeting Minutes

The Board made minor changes to the November 14-15, 2018 meeting minutes.

- Dr. Mark Nunez moved and Ms. Kathy Bowler seconded the motion to approve the minutes as amended. The motion carried 7-0.

5. Report and Update from Department of Consumer Affairs

Mr. Patrick Le, Assistant Deputy Director, Board and Bureau Relations, DCA, updated the Board and provided highlights from 2018. Updates included a transition to new Governor Newsom, as well as the release of the new budget. The Board had questions about the new budget and its impact on the Board. Ms. Sieferman advised that the Board already submitted Budget Change Proposals (BCPs) that were approved and included in the Governor's budget. The Board also had questions about the Executive Officer salary study, and Mr. Le advised that the salary study contractor was still interviewing individuals and conducting additional research; an update should be available within the next few months.

6. Veterinary Medicine Multidisciplinary Advisory Committee (MDC) Report – Dr. Jeff Pollard

Dr. Jeff Pollard, MDC Chair, addressed the Board regarding MDC discussion. Dr. Pollard advised that the MDC reviewed a report from the Complaint Process Audit Subcommittee and reviewed the chronology of the past four years and highlights of the subcommittee. The recommendation was made to share the information from the subcommittee with the expert witnesses for future training and to provide them with immediate feedback. The MDC also discussed the Shelter Medicine Minimum Standards and moved forward proposed language for California Code of Regulations (CCR) sections 2035.5 and 2030.6. The MDC voted to strike CCR section 2030.7 and retain CCR sections 2034 and 2035 for further discussion and revisions. Dr. Pollard also updated the Board on MDC discussion regarding pet ambulances and their relation to mobile clinics. The MDC requested additional direction from the Board about how to proceed with this topic. The Board agreed to allow Board staff to conduct research to see if pet ambulances are associated with existing premises or fall under the category of mobile practices. The final topic of discussion at the MDC meeting was regarding cannabis guidelines. There was a report from a subcommittee providing initial guidelines and significant public input regarding this discussion. Dr. Pollard advised that the MDC will continue the discussion at the next MDC meeting and consider continuing education (CE), toxicity (dose, concentration, product regulation, etc.), and better determining the line between a cannabis use recommendation and a discussion. The Board discussed the relationship between “herd health” in shelter medicine minimum standards, and how it relates to “range setting” in other regulations such as musculoskeletal manipulation and animal physical rehabilitation. The Board determined that they will hold off on discussing these topics for the time being.

7. Review and Possible Approval of Records Retention Schedule

Ms. Sieferman requested that this discussion be tabled to allow Board staff to conduct additional research regarding the current schedule in relation to DCA policies and propose any changes at the April meeting.

8. Update, Discussion, and Possible Action on Proposed Regulations

A. Status of Pending Regulations

Ms. Amanda Drummond provided an update on the status of current regulations. Changes since the November Board meeting include: the rulemaking package for Fee Schedule has been submitted to DCA and is with the California Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency (Agency) as of January 15, 2019; the initial rulemaking package for Consumer Protection Enforcement Initiative (CPEI) has received comments from Agency and has been returned to the Board for additional consideration; the initial rulemaking package for Telemedicine is with Agency for their review as of January 4, 2019; and Ms. Drummond is actively working on Disciplinary Guidelines, RVT Education, Drug Compounding, Animal Physical Rehabilitation, RVT Emergency Animal Care, RVT Tasks Under Indirect Supervision, and the rulemaking package relating to implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 2138.

B. Sections 2006 and 2006.5, Article 1, and Section 2076, Article 8, Division 20, Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR) Regarding Uniform Standards for Substance Abusing Licensees

Ms. Sieferman provided an update to the Board that this regulatory proposal was placed on hold when the Legal Affairs Division of DCA was researching this topic. This topic was mentioned in the last few Board sunset review reports and given that this is also a consumer protection issue, Ms. Sieferman recommended that the Board develop a subcommittee to work on proposing the regulations for the Uniform Standards and bring it back to the Board at its April meeting.

- Dr. Cheryl Waterhouse moved and Dr. Jaymie Noland seconded the recommendation to create a two-member subcommittee to look at implementation of Uniform Standards for Substance Abusing Licensees and consist of Dr. Mark Nunez and Ms. Kathy Bowler, and that they develop regulations for this topic and present their recommendations at the April 2019 Board meeting. The motion carried 7-0.

C. Section 2032.1, Article 4, Division 20, Title 16 of the CCR Regarding Veterinarian-Client-Patient Relationship and Informed Consent of a Client

Legal counsel briefed the Board that at the last meeting, the Board was discussing dental radiographs and whether clients were informed and giving informed consent for these procedures. Legal counsel's memorandum to the Board identified what is required to be discussed with clients pursuant to the veterinarian-client-patient relationship (VCPR) regulations (CCR section 2032.1). The memorandum included for Board consideration proposed language to require a veterinarian to communicate the medical, treatment, diagnostic, and/or therapeutic plan to help resolve the dental radiographic question posed by the Board. Legal counsel also advised that the regulatory packages for Telemedicine and VCPR contain language that could be affected by this proposed language and advised that if the Board votes to adopt the proposed changes, that they specify that it will not impact the regulatory packages already in process.

- Dr. Mark Nunez moved and Dr. Jaymie Noland seconded the motion to approve the proposed regulatory changes as modified, direct the Executive Officer to take all steps necessary to initiate the rulemaking process, authorize the Executive Officer to make any technical or non-substantive changes to the rulemaking package, notice the proposed text for a 45-day public comment period, and if no adverse comments are received during the 45-day comment period and no hearing is requested, adopt the proposed regulatory changes. The Board also clarified that the adoption of this language would not affect the regulatory proposal for Telemedicine and VCPR, which are already in process. The motion carried 7-0.

D. Section 2003, Article 1, Section 2017, Article 2, and Section 2041, Article 5, Division 20, Title 16 of the CCR Regarding the Consumer Protection Enforcement Initiative (CPEI)

Ms. Sieferman advised that the Board received comments from Agency regarding the CPEI regulatory package, and legal counsel drafted revisions to the proposed language to address some of Agency's concerns. Legal counsel advised that the proposed language originally contained repealed language, but due to Agency's concerns, the language previously repealed will be removed from the text of the proposal. Additionally, the revised proposal clarifies when the Board may require a mental or physical exam. Further amendments to the language include modifications based on the new limitations contained in AB 2138 that put into question whether the Board can require individuals to report convictions, so the proposed language strikes (a)(1). The Board asked clarifying questions about the mandates of AB 2138 and how it affects the application process.

- Dr. Cheryl Waterhouse moved and Ms. Kathy Bowler seconded the motion to approve the proposed regulatory changes as modified, direct the Executive Officer to take all steps necessary to initiate the rulemaking process, authorize the Executive Officer to make any technical or non-substantive changes to the rulemaking package, notice the proposed text for a 45-day public comment period and if no adverse comments are received during the 45-day comment period and no hearing is requested, adopt the proposed regulatory changes. The motion carried 7-0.

E. Section 2040 and 2041, Article 5, Division 20, Title 16 of the CCR to comply with the Requirements of Assembly Bill (AB) 2138 (Chiu, Chapter 995, Statutes of 2018) Regarding Criminal Conviction Substantially Related and Rehabilitation Criteria

Ms. Sieferman advised the Board that AB 2138 has passed, and part of the bill's requirement is to develop and implement new regulations that specify the substantially related criminal conviction and rehabilitation criteria by July 1, 2020. Included in the Board packet is a template for implementing these regulations from DCA that include two options when establishing rehabilitation criteria. The Board decided to go with option 1, which would allow the Board to consider rehabilitation on a case-by-case basis and would provide better consumer protection.

- Dr. Mark Nunez moved and Dr. Christina Bradbury seconded the motion to approve the rulemaking proposal detailed in 2040 and 2041 Option 1 as provided and approve the proposed regulatory changes, direct the Executive Officer to take all steps necessary to initiate the rulemaking process, authorize the Executive Officer to make any technical or non-substantive changes to the rulemaking package, notice the proposed text for a 45-day public comment period, and if no adverse comments are received during the 45-day comment period and no hearing is requested, adopt the proposed regulatory changes as modified. The motion carried 7-0.

9. Discussion and Possible Board Action on 2019 Legislative Proposals:

A. [Update on the 2019 Omnibus Provisions Approved by the Board](#)

Ms. Sieferman provided a recap of the Omnibus bill provisions requested by the Board and submitted to the Senate BP&ED Committee. The corporate practice proposal has been tabled by the Senate BP&ED Committee staff for further review and will not be included in the Omnibus bill. During a teleconference between Ms. Sieferman and Senate BP&ED Committee staff, they expressed concern that the corporate practice proposal may add a new ban on corporate practice, and Ms. Sieferman stated that the proposal does not add a new ban but clarifies the existing corporate practice law. Senate BP&ED staff offered several options regarding the corporate practice proposal and offered to convene stakeholder meetings with the corporations for legislation to be introduced in 2020. Ms. Sieferman noted that the corporate practice legislation recommendation was publicly noticed since February 2018 and approved by the Board at its November 2018 meeting. Ms. Sieferman also noted that the corporations were invited to participate at the November 2018 hearing, and there were corporation representatives at that meeting who did not participate in the Board's discussion. Public comment was received from Mark Cushing, Founder and CEO of Animal Policy Group, a lobbying entity who represents Banfield and MARS group, which includes VCA. Mr. Cushing stated he had watched the Board's February 2018 meeting and attended the November meeting but did not testify. He stated he was probably the individual most familiar with the corporate practice issue since the Board released its legal counsel's memo last February that included the proposed legislation and regulation. Mr. Cushing stated that no one thought the Board would move to a vote on the corporate practice proposal, even though he understood that the Board's public notice that warned that the Board could take action. He attended the November meeting to see if the public would comment and if the Board wanted to push the issue forward and seek legislation. He advised that the corporate practice issue is really complicated, and he has commitments from the practices he represents to participate in a work or task force or with the MDC. He cautioned the Board about national legislation that provided solutions in search of a problem and advised the Board to sort through the problems and the intellectual or philosophical issues to address. He stated there are different types of practices in play that should be looked at. Rather than go through each paragraph of the proposed legislation at a Board meeting, Mr. Cushing advised that is best done by a work or task force. The Board discussed sending this issue to the MDC for further review and discussion.

- Dr. Mark Nunez moved and Dr. Jaymie Noland seconded the motion to pull back the corporate practice recommendation that was submitted to the Legislature and refer the

topic to the MDC for guidance, instruction, research, and stakeholder meetings. The motion carried 6-1. Ms. Alana Yanez voted no.

The Board returned to this agenda item at a later time.

Ms. Sieferman advised that the proposed language contained in the Omnibus proposal regarding premises managing licensees had been approved by the Board and that the language for the fee exemption for vaccination clinics was drafted by legal counsel and included in the packet for the Board's review. The Board clarified that the fee exemption is for non-profits not associated with a fixed premises who are providing vaccination clinics and made minor amendments to the language including:

- Revising the proposed language for BPC section 4905, subdivision (n) to remove "low-cost" and include only "no-cost vaccination services"
 - Replacing "immunization services" with "preventative procedures for parasite control"
 - Clarifying that the non-profit or not-for-profit entity will not be associated with a fixed veterinary premises
- Dr. Christina Bradbury moved and Ms. Alana Yanez seconded the motion to approve the proposed language for BPC section 4905 as amended. The motion carried 7-0.

The Board returned to this agenda item at a later time.

Dr. Sullivan asked for clarification about the proposed legislation for managing licensees and if the Board is creating a new registration. The Board clarified that they are not creating a new registration, but trying to obtain information about the premises owner. There was a discussion between the public and the Board about whether the business owner or the building owner needs to be named on the premises application. Legal counsel identified that the veterinary registration statute only requires the managing licensee to be identified on premises applications, the Board is currently only receiving the managing licensee information and not the owner of the business/premises, who could potentially have had a negative administrative action taken against them.

- Dr. Cheryl Waterhouse moved and Dr. Jaymie Noland seconded the motion to continue working with the Legislature to modify the proposed legislation for BPC section 4853 to capture the business owner information, and not the building owner, and continue the recommendation to the Legislature the proposed language for BPC sections 4853.1, 4853.6, and 4875.2. The motion carried 7-0.

B. Potential Legislation Related to Regulating Pet Cremation Service

Ms. Sieferman provided a brief introduction to this topic. The Senate BP&ED Committee staff requested that the Board review the legislative proposal and whether the Board should have oversight over pet cremation facilities. The Cemetery and Funeral Bureau has no oversight over these facilities and only regulates human cremation services. Members of the public, including Ms. Hillarie Levy, and attorneys Ms. Lauren Burton and Mr. Marc Robinson, shared their

experience with the lack of oversight of pet cremations and their legislative proposal on how to resolve these issues. Members of the public requested Board support for this legislation and guidance on how to proceed. The Board expressed sympathy and support for the public members but advised that this would be an issue best suited for the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau, as the Board lacks the training needed to regulate and inspect the crematory facilities. The Board stated they would assist in facilitating a conversation with the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau and offer their support.

Ms. Carol Schumacher also shared her experiences with pet crematory services and clients believing the wrong ashes were received. She also advised that prior clients had gone to the District Attorney because the client believed the pet crematory service was committing fraud, but there was no regulatory oversight over this practice so there was little recourse.

- Dr. Jaymie Noland motioned and Ms. Jennifer Loredo seconded the motion to have Board staff communicate with the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau to schedule a session to discuss this legislative proposal with the Senate Business and Professions Committee staff and have Board staff report back at the next Board meeting. The motion carried 7-0.

10. Update on AB 1753 (Low, Chapter 479, Statutes of 2018) Regarding Serialized Controlled Substance Prescription Forms

Ms. Sieferman updated the Board that AB 1753 requires new serialized prescription forms. DCA, the Medical Board, and the Board of Pharmacy developed a template and the information was disseminated via ListServ to subscribers. Multiple agencies identified that there will be a backlog to having the serialized controlled substance prescription forms filled and recommended some leeway in terms of enforcement.

11. American Association of Veterinary State Boards Call for 2019 Elected Leadership Nominations

The Board discussed the nomination of members to the American Association of Veterinary State Boards (AAVSB) due to multiple vacancies being available and the importance that the Board put forth one of more nominations for representation.

- Dr. Cheryl Waterhouse nominated Dr. Mark Nunez for the position of AAVSB Director. Dr. Mark Nunez accepted the nomination. The motion carried 7-0.

12. Update, Discussion, and Possible Action Regarding the Administration of the California Veterinary Technician Examination

Ms. Sieferman updated the Board that legal counsel provided research regarding the practical portion of the California Registered Technician Examination (CRTE) and if it could be administered online. Ms. Loredo discussed the history of the CRTE and that the purpose of creating a separate state exam was to decrease exam costs. However, the exam costs to RVT

applicants have not decreased. Ms. Loredo stated that ideas to decrease the exam costs is to decrease the cost of retaking the exams and since the CRTE has a 96 % pass rate, it appears to be an educational tool as opposed to an exam. Ms. Loredo noted that the VTNE is an inclusive exam covering nursing and pharmacology, and the California specific items could be covered in a mail-in exam. Ms. Loredo also noted that a prior issue raised with the CRTE was that there was not enough material to make multiple versions of the exam. The Board expressed support for offering this examination as an online exam as a means of reducing costs and because of the high pass rate, it is already serving as more of a guidance tool than an examination. Ms. Sieferman also advised that AAVSB had mentioned it could be possible and relatively inexpensive to incorporate California-specific questions into the Veterinary Technician National Examination (VTNE), which would cover the gap between the VTNE and California requirements, and also require only one examination for RVTs. The Board requested that Ms. Sieferman follow up with AAVSB and report back at the April meeting regarding the incorporating the California practical requirements into the VTNE.

13. Board President Report – Jaymie Noland, DVM

Dr. Waterhouse provided the Board President Report up until January 1, 2019, as she was the President until that time. Dr. Waterhouse updated the Board that since the November 2018 Board meeting, she participated in a DCA teleconference that discussed the Executive Officer salary study, there were already 210 legislative bills introduced for 2019 as of the beginning of December, and there was a discussion regarding AB 2138, which has an implementation date of July 1, 2020. Dr. Waterhouse also advised the Board on required training and forms that the Board members need to complete and that she and Ms. Sieferman will be presenting at Western University in February. Additionally, Dr. Waterhouse met with Assemblymember Kalra's office regarding the cannabis bill and stressed the need for further cannabis research to be conducted. Dr. Noland updated that she also participated in the cannabis discussion. Dr. Noland noted that Assemblymember Kalra's office expressed their willingness to work with the Board, are open to new ideas and discussions, and that within the new marijuana bill, they are requesting \$10 million in research with some of those funds to be allocated to animal research.

14. Registered Veterinary Technician Report – Jennifer Loredo, RVT

Ms. Loredo stated that the AAVSB formalized the Program for the Assessment of Veterinary Equivalence (PAVE) and appointed her to the committee regarding foreign RVT graduates. There have been issues obtaining the VTNE pass rates, and hopefully by the next meeting, there will be updated results. The RVT test plan for California had some issues, but that is pending research and action following the discussion with AAVSB.

15. Executive Officer and Staff Reports

A. Administrative/Budget

Ms. Sieferman thanked Mr. Ethan Mathes for all of his hard work and dedication to DCA and presented his report to the Board. The report indicated there would be a hand-carried expenditure report, but due to concerns with the accuracy of the report, Ms. Sieferman decided not to report it until the errors have been resolved. The fund condition has been provided to the Board and it reflects that the months in reserve are increasing. Additionally, the BCPs have been approved and there are ongoing issues with FI\$CAL.

B. Enforcement

Mr. Robert Stephanopoulos addressed the Board and provided an update on the enforcement unit. The enforcement unit is fully staffed, and he is impressed with the commitment of staff. Mr. Stephanopoulos stated that complaints continue to increase and discussed plans to streamline the various enforcement processes to help close the pending cases sooner.

C. Licensing/Examination

Mr. Moneel Singh presented the report for licensing and examinations that was drafted by Mr. Mathes prior to his departure. Highlights from the report include that veterinary assistant controlled substance permit (VACSP) applications continue to increase, the Board website has been updated, and license renewals for veterinarians and RVTs will be completely online as of June 2019. Additional updates include the implementation of SB 1491 (Committee on Business, Professions and Economic Development, Chapter 703, Statutes of 2018), which authorizes the veterinary law exam (VLE) to be administered by regular mail, email, or by both regular mail and email, and Board staff is working on providing this service.

D. Hospital Inspection

Ms. Patty Rodriguez provided the report for hospital inspections and highlights include the approval of three staff positions, the implementation of SB 1480, which mandates 20% of veterinary premises to be inspected annually, and streamlining processes. The Board is working with the Office of Information Services (OIS) to determine if additional resources can be utilized to make the inspection process more efficient. Additionally, Ms. Rodriguez advised that the compliance rate of veterinary premises continues to rise.

E. Strategic Plan Update

Ms. Sieferman advised that a report for the 2015-2019 Strategic Action Plan is included in the packet and includes updates since the November meeting. The current plan expires this year, and the Board will be holding another Strategic Action Planning Session in October.

16. Future Agenda Items

Ms. Sieferman advised that the April meeting will be at Mission Inn in Riverside, and the July meeting will be hosted by the RVT program at Foothill College in Los Altos. The Board moved the October 16-18, 2019 meeting to October 9-11, 2019, to incorporate the Strategic Planning Session.

17. Recess until Thursday, January 24, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.

The meeting was recessed at 5:14 p.m.

9:00 a.m., Thursday, January 24, 2019

18. Reconvene - Establishment of a Quorum

Dr. Noland called the Board meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. Ms. Sieferman called roll; seven members of the Board were present, and a quorum was established.

19. Introductions

Members Present

Jaymie Noland, DVM, President
Cheryl Waterhouse, DVM, Vice President
Kathy Bowler, Public Member
Christina Bradbury, DVM
Jennifer Loreda, Registered Veterinary Technician (RVT)
Mark Nunez, DVM
Alana Yanez, Public Member

Staff Present

Jessica Sieferman, Executive Officer
Robert Stephanopoulos, Enforcement Manager
Amanda Drummond, Administrative Program Analyst
Virginia Gerard, Enforcement Analyst
Sidney Villareal, Probation Monitor
Tara Welch, Legal Counsel

Guests Present

James Coghlan, Petitioner
Summer Haro, Deputy Attorney General (DAG), Office of the Attorney General, Department of Justice
Coren D. Wong, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), Office of Administrative Hearings

20. Special Order of Business

A. Petition for Reinstatement – James Coghlan, Revoked Veterinarian License No. 9742

ALJ Wong presided over the petition for reinstatement. DAG Summer Haro updated and presented the case against James Coghlan. Mr. Coghlan represented himself and presented his petition for reinstatement. Mr. Coghlan answered questions from the DAG and members of the Board. ALJ Wong closed the hearing.

CLOSED SESSION

21. Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3), the Board Will Deliberate on Disciplinary Actions.

Petition for Reinstatement – James Coghlan, Revoked Veterinarian License No. 9742

The Board moved to deny the petition for reinstatement of licensure.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against Heidi Hilleary, DVM; Mother Lode Pet Emergency Clinic' Lou's Place – Low Cost Vet Care – Board Case No. 1002459492, OAH No. 2018060233

The Board moved to reject the stipulated settlement and submit a counter offer.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against Katherine Walker, RVT No. 9294 – Board Case No. 1002512686

The Board moved to reject the stipulated settlement and submit a counter offer.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against Jessica Nazareth Portela, Veterinarian License Applicant – Board Case No. 4602019000224

The Board moved to adopt the stipulated settlement.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against Skye Stanley, DVM, Veterinarian License No. VET 20846 - Board Case No. 4602017000249, OAH No. 2018090759

The Board moved to adopt the stipulated surrender.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against Aidin Rahbari-Khazari, DVM Applicant - Board Case No. 4602017000537, OAH No. 2017090717.1

The Board moved to adopt the Decision After Non-Adoption.

22. Adjournment

- Dr. Cheryl Waterhouse moved, and Ms. Kathy Bowler seconded, to adjourn the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 3:34 p.m.